

Save Europe Act

The Far-Right Initiative to Destabilise Europe from Within

Justice for
Prosperity





Martin Sellner and Eva Vlaardingerbroek. Source & rights: Save Europe Act's X account, 2 June 2026

Save Europe Act: The Far-Right Initiative to Destabilise Europe from Within

They call it *"Europe United Against the EU"*: 1 August 2026 marks the beginning of the European Shield Tour, a string of pan-European remigration demonstrations. The tour's first stop is in Utrecht, the Netherlands, supported by the Dutch extreme-right party Forum voor Democratie (FvD). It is organised by the "Save Europe Act" (SEA) campaign, an initiative spearheaded by the Dutch far-right influencer Eva Vlaardingerbroek and Austrian far-right extremist Martin Sellner, and backed by the likes of Lidewij de Vos, Viktor Orbán and Santiago Abascal. The SEA campaign has also been found to collaborate with Dutch far-right activists who will organise a new Malieveld protest, one year after the riots of September 2025.

The SEA presents itself as a "patriotic European Citizens' Initiative". Its demand is a Europe-wide "remigration" programme, in other words, the mass deportation of people.

The investigators at the Justice for Prosperity Foundation (JfP) traced the funding and the network supporting the SEA and its mobilisation. Despite its European citizen focus, its technical infrastructure can be attributed to a prominent American MAGA anti-LGBTIQ+ activist with ties to the Kremlin. Donations to the campaign pass through a French donation hub with ties to European party politics, while the initial donations travelled through a key figure of the right-wing extremist Identitäre Bewegung.



What is the Save Europe Act?

It presents itself as the first patriotic European Citizens' Initiative (ECI). Citizens' initiatives must first be registered with the European Commission, then collect one million valid signatures across at least seven member states within twelve months. If successful, organisers present their case at a hearing in the European Parliament, and the Commission is obliged to respond within six months, though not to propose legislation.

Under this label, the Save Europe Act (SEA) normalises extreme-right terminology and extremist ideas, moving terms like "Great Replacement" and "remigration" into the political mainstream, and with it the reality of **mass deportation**. It seeks to build "an army of volunteers" to "fight the system from within", delegitimising European democratic institutions.

Vlaardingerbroek and Sellner launched the SEA initiative, without registering it first, on 30 May 2026 at their [Remigration Summit](#) near Porto, an event which attracted hundreds of far- and extreme-right activists, influencers and politicians to promote the idea of remigration. On 8 June, the two officially requested the registration of the SEA as European Citizens' Initiative. In the meantime, despite its unconfirmed and pending status, they continued to collect signatures and personal data from both Europeans and non-Europeans.

These signatures (around 650,000 at the time of publication) are rendered futile as the campaign had never been approved, even though they framed themselves as such. In mid-June 2026, the European Commission initially determined that the SEA conflicted with the fundamental rights of the Union. A halt to "non-Western" immigration, according to the Commission, constituted discrimination on the grounds of race and ethnic origin. The campaigning continued anyway.

On 15 July, campaigners held a rally outside the European Parliament in Brussels, delivering all the signatures printed out and put in a wheelbarrow, staging a publicity stunt for the campaign. The prominent Brian Brown was there, with a Frenchman to support Sellner and Vlaardingerbroek, a clear reminder of how closely the US network is linked with the campaign's European face. Brown then entered Parliament, attending [an event](#) hosted by the Europe of Sovereign Nations and featuring Vlaardingerbroek.

On 22 July, the European Commission formally rejected the SEA's registration, ruling that its call for a moratorium on non-Western immigration constituted discrimination on grounds of race and ethnic origin. Vlaardingerbroek and Sellner dismissed the decision, calling it a "democracy simulation".

The SEA is being promoted by several right-wing extremist groups like Voorpost, Schild & Vrienden, (G)NSV and Defend Netherlands. These groups publicly push the more acceptable "remigration" narrative, while in private they talk very differently. The same is currently happening elsewhere. A new Malieveld demonstration is being planned, one year after the riots. Its new organisers have publicly announced [a partnership](#) with the SEA, but again, in private they are spreading racist remarks and even glorifying Nazism.



Who is driving this and why does it matter?

Vlaardingerbroek and Sellner, and their public endorsers, are only the visible layer of the Save Europe Act. Behind them runs a support network that reaches beyond Europe, originating from the United States, through Brian Brown. Vlaardingerbroek has pushed far-right ideas for years, on X, at CPAC and many other stages. Sellner, a far-right extremist, leads the ethno-nationalist Identitarian Movement in Austria. Both push the Great Replacement conspiracy theory.

JfP traced the donations and financial support for the SEA first to the Identitarian Movement in Germany, then to France. The technical infrastructure of the SEA is operated by an American Trump supporter present at the European Parliament rally, and an ultra-nationalist Serbian figure, both tied to Russia. These same actors build and execute international anti-women's and anti-LGBTIQ+ rights strategies elsewhere.

The Commission's rejection strips the current signatures of any formal weight, but the campaign keeps collecting them anyway. Vlaardingerbroek has reframed supporters in military terms, calling them an "army" who will carry the movement into Europe's cities regardless.

The Brussels rally and the European Shield Tour that follows it, starting in Utrecht, is planned to run until late autumn 2026. Most of the signatures so far originate from the Netherlands and none of this needs EU recognition to matter. Growing its base and staying visible builds political legitimacy independent of the Commission's decision, already reflected in the MEPs and national politicians supporting it publicly.

Shifting the Overton window


Vlaardingerbroek and Sellner's campaign mainly revolves around building a mass movement, claiming a mandate and making demands of the "elites". However, by supporting, platforming and legitimising the SEA, certain views, language and ideas that were until recently considered marginal become part of everyday political debates and lexicon. The terms "remigration" and "Great Replacement" were confined to the extreme periphery of right-wing extremist ideology, within neo-Nazi networks and, in some cases, the manifestos of extreme-right terrorists. Their inclusion in a campaign with over 600,000 signatures is an exemplary shift of the "Overton window", the range of ideas a society accepts as normal.

Remigration is a euphemism for mass deportation, meaning the forced removal of people based on their racial or ethnic origin. Vlaardingerbroek and Sellner are now pushing this once-fringe rhetoric into the political mainstream, and they leave little doubt about what they mean by it. In April 2024, Vlaardingerbroek [wrote](#) on X: "The Great Replacement is no longer a theory - it's reality. White Europeans are being replaced in their own countries at an ever-accelerating rate." In February 2025, she [wrote](#) "Mass deportations are needed urgently." Vlaardingerbroek also [credited](#) Elon Musk during her appearance in the European Parliament for "saving the freedom of speech by buying it", and said "Do you really think Elon Musk will bow down to Ursula von der Leyen? I don't think so!" during her appearance in Brussels with the ESN group. Furthermore, on 31 July, Musk [shared](#) his support for the SEA among his 241 million followers.

With the SEA, the ideology of mass deportations receives institutional legitimacy. It takes the form of an official request to the European Commission, framed as an ECI, though the Commission refused to register it. Remigration is no longer a position confined to the fringes and instead is presented as a matter for mainstream European decision-making. This strategy is deliberate. It seeks to shift the Overton window so far to the right that ethnicity-based mass deportation becomes an acceptable policy position.



Vlaardingerbroek [named](#) this strategy herself, as early as October 2024, more than a year before the SEA's launch. Reacting to a list of the accounts driving the remigration debate on X, she proudly wrote that she was glad to be included alongside Sellner, Musk and Trump: "Together, we're pushing the Overton window in real time."



Left: The European Commission's reaction to the Act. Right: Vlaardingerbroek names the Overton window strategy herself. Source and rights: Eva Vlaardingerbroek's X account.


Since it launched, signatures have been flooding in. According to the campaign's own counter, the total now stands at well beyond 600.000 accumulated since the launch on 30 May.

However, the "signatures" the SEA is collecting do not count. Yet, the website promises that it is "GDPR compliant" and that one's "data is encrypted and never sold". Our investigation raises serious questions about that promise. JfP followed the money and the data behind the SEA campaign. The trail begins with an association in Chemnitz and a name that someone forgot to erase from a logo's metadata. It ends at the servers of an American with ties to the Kremlin.

A deeper dive - Where do people's donations go?

From 1 June 2026, donations on the SEA website ran along two routes, both leading to the German association, Hermes Medienförderung e.V. This association supports "cancelled" journalists, dissidents and oppositional voices in the German-speaking world by covering their legal costs and in practice functions as one of the donation channels for Martin Sellner, whose own bank accounts have been closed one after another.

One donation route was via [GiveSendGo](#), an American donation platform. Their page clearly states, "Fundraiser created by Vincenzo Richter", the figure behind the association. The other ran via [Stripe](#), an American payment service, where Hermes Medienförderung e.V. is listed as the donation's recipient. The SEA's official [site notice](#) also confirmed this in early June. Evidently, the technical, organisational and financial support for the campaign was provided by Hermes Medienförderung e.V. from Chemnitz, under Vincenzo Richter.



On 1 June, donations ran via GiveSendGo and Stripe to the German association Hermes.



Who is Vincenzo Richter?


[Vincenzo Richter](#) rose quickly through the ranks of Germany's far-right ecosystem. He started as leader of the Identitäre Bewegung in Chemnitz, which Germany's domestic intelligence service has classified as right-wing extremist. He is now a leading figure of the nationwide German Identitarian Movement. Hermes Medienförderung e.V. is based at Edisonstraße 2, also in Chemnitz. In 2023, the Public Prosecutor's Office in Chemnitz opened a [money laundering investigation](#) into Richter and a co-suspect concerning the financing of the building at Edisonstraße 2. This is the same address at which the SEA was once based, according to its own [website](#), after which they changed it. On 9 January 2026, the Public Prosecutor's Office dismissed the case against Richter because there were insufficient grounds for suspicion, the Public Prosecutor's Office confirmed to JfP.

The money flow changes

In mid-June, something interesting happened. The [site notice](#) was changed, naming Martin Sellner as the current owner and individual now legally responsible for the SEA. Richter and Hermes disappeared from the website, but via GiveSendGo and Stripe, donations keep directing to Hermes Medienförderung e.V.

Sellner explains the reason for the changes himself. According to him, he repeatedly lost access to bank accounts over the years, with banks citing reputational and regulatory concerns. On 5 August 2025, he [wrote](#) on X that he still doesn't have his own bank account, and called on people to support him legally via Hermes Medienförderung e.V. His personal money flow thus runs directly through the association in Chemnitz. On 7 July 2026, he further [reported](#) that he can no longer pay his bills, because his bank is blocking incoming transfers.

Later, the site ownership changed again, going from Sellner directly to a company called "E+M People Act Platform FlexCo", run by Sellner. E + M likely stands for Eva and Martin.



Sellner on his problems with the banking system. Source: Martin Sellner's X account. Left: 5 August 2025, right: 7 July 2026.



Donorbox and a French association


In early July 2026, the donation button on the site no longer linked to GiveSendGo and Stripe, but now to Donorbox. After technical investigation, JfP established that from that moment on, donations from the SEA's site instead run through a French association called "CERSH."

This evidence can be observed in the source code of the Donorbox page. The full-page title reads "Save Europe Act | Centre Européen de Recherches en Sciences Humaines et Religieuses (Powered by Donorbox)". The logo on the Donorbox page also links directly to the website cersh.org.



CERSH stands for "Centre Européen de Recherches en Sciences Humaines et Religieuses", a French association founded in 2018 and based in Paris. Outwardly, CERSH presents itself as a scientific research centre for humanity and religion. In practice, it processes donations for at least three campaigns. Alongside the Save Europe Act, CERSH's Donorbox account also hosts the campaign "[Paroles interdites](#)" (Forbidden words) with Le Salon Beige, an ultraconservative blog. It also, jointly with Le Salon Beige and the Save Europe Act, is raising money via a "[Summer Campaign](#)".

According to its own website, CERSH is registered at Tour CIT, 3 rue de l'Arrivée, Paris. The SEA also uses this exact location (seen via email communication upon "signing" the SEA). Also registered at that address is [Le Salon Beige](#), the aforementioned blog which is owned by Guillaume de Thieulloy. De Thieulloy is a French publisher, writer and far-right political activist who has previously been [convicted](#) of a homophobic insult on the same blog.




Left: Donorbox campaigns CERSH & Le Salon Beige. Right: email from the Save Europe Act with address.



Guillaume de Thieulloy and GT Editions

JfP has observed that De Thieulloy is much more than CERSH or Le Salon Beige. His name is tied to a string of other ultra-conservative [entities](#). He is also the director of [GT Editions](#), to which the endowment fund “Fonds de dotation GT Editions” is linked. That fund is the second-largest donor of the European Conservatives and Reformists Party (ECR), the right-wing conservative, Eurosceptic European party whose members include Meloni’s Fratelli d’Italia and Poland’s Law and Justice Party (PiS). ECR members have endorsed the SEA, such as PiS MEP Dominik Tarczyński and George Simion from the Alliance for the Union of Romanians.

Over three years, De Thieulloy’s fund donated a total of 54,000 euros to the ECR. That amounts to 18,000 euros per year, exactly the [annual maximum](#) that a single donor may give to a European party. He also spoke at ECR’s 2023 “European Congress of Families” in Dubrovnik, Croatia. De Thieulloy therefore occupies two places in this story. Firstly, at CERSH, where the Save Europe Act’s donations arrive, and secondly, as one of the ECR’s influencers and largest financial backers.




Fonds de dotation GT Editions is the second-largest donor of the ECR party.

CERSH - its website and a Serbian

A closer examination of CERSH and its website reveals a much more complex picture. Is De Thieulloy behind the money? Is there more to the campaign than just a French donation hub? The first thing that stood out to us was the metadata in the logo of "cersh.org." It contained a name: Nemanja Zarić. Metadata is information that a file automatically carries with it, such as the [creator, the location or the date](#).

The file name of the main image of a church on the same website revealed even more: "ChatGPT Image 17. jyh 2025. 15_54_49.png". The word "jyh" is Serbian for "June." According to [LinkedIn](#), Dr Nemanja Zarić resides in Belgrade, Serbia and is the co-owner of [Innonde](#) LLC. JfP set out to investigate Innonde in greater depth.



The metadata of the logo on the CERSH website.




The domain gives itself away

Until now, our investigation was about names, metadata, URLs and registered addresses. But every website runs on a server, so JfP examined the infrastructure behind the Save Europe Act website.

On 12 July 2026, we found a telling detail on a [subdomain](#) of the website [mw.save-europe-act.com](#): “Domain: mw.innonde.net”. This is also confirmed by DNS records. Both subdomains point to the same IP address, “216.87.32.120.” That address also carries the name mta1.innonde.net. This is not speculation, and anyone can [look up](#) these DNS records independently.

However, a shared IP address proves little on its own because hosting companies often place multiple clients on one server. But here, the picture is different as the address carries Innonde’s name. Such a link does not arise by chance and must be set up intentionally. It is also an outlier, since the rest of the SEA’s infrastructure runs on major providers such as Cloudflare. Only this subdomain deviates from that pattern. The website of Sellner and Vlaardingerbroek therefore partly shares infrastructure with the company “Innonde.”



Left: the subdomains [mw.save-europe-act.com](#) and [mw.innonde.net](#). Accessed on 12 July 2026. Right: both subdomains point to the same IP address: [mta1.innonde.net](#). JfP, 12 July 2026.

Innonde & AlternCloud, the companies behind the infrastructure


[Innonde](#) is a fundraising marketing agency, and according to its website is located in Washington D.C. By its own account, it focuses on “conservative leaders and organisations.” In October 2017, the company registered a branch in Belgrade, Serbia. Its clients include the International Organization for the Family (IOF) and Le Salon Beige, owned by the previously discussed Guillaume de Thieulloy. The hosting company AlternCloud also appears among the client logos.

Two of Innonde’s services are demonstrable:


1. The mail infrastructure for the campaigns of Le Salon Beige and various other domains, via the email software Sendy and Innonde’s own mail servers;
2. The content and technical management of self-proclaimed conservative Christian and pro-life clients.

We previously discovered Dr Nemanja Zarić as the co-owner of Innonde. JfP also identified the other owner as [Brian Stephen Brown](#), an American pro-MAGA and fierce anti-rights figure of considerable significance to this investigation. First, we will examine Brown’s IT activities.





The LinkedIn pages of Dr Nemanja Zarić and Brian Brown on 9 July 2026. Both are owners of Innonde.




Brian Brown with "great friends" Dr Nemanja Zarić (L) and Guillaume de Thieulloy (R). Source & rights: Brian Brown's X account


Brown's reach goes beyond Innonde. He also co-owns [AlternCloud](#), a hosting company that markets itself specifically to conservative clients who have been turned away elsewhere, which makes it a small and ideologically selected platform rather than an anonymous mass-market host. The SEA's mail subdomain sits on an AlternCloud server, in the same place as Brown's own IOF and a legal defence fund for John Eastman, the lawyer who devised the strategy for Donald Trump's attempt to overturn the 2020 election result. The setup follows the pattern Innonde builds for its clients, even though the campaign's emails themselves go out through a different provider. This means that someone with administrative control over the SEA domain chose to build this on Brown's infrastructure.

Brown has also spoken publicly as though the campaign were his own. When an X user called the Save Europe Act a "hoax" on 31 May 2026 because confirmation emails were not arriving, he [replied](#) that the servers were overwhelmed with signers, called outages normal for a campaign that size and predicted it would reach two million signatures.





DNS lookup of 12 July 2026. The subdomains mw.save-europe-act.com and mw.johneastmanlegaldefensefund.com point to the same AlternCloud address: 216.87.32.120. Right: Brown defends the servers on X, 31 May 2026.



On 31 May 2026, Brian Brown shares a post about the Save Europe Act and defends the campaign. Source and copyright: X account of Brian Brown.

Brian Brown and his close ties to Russia

Brian Brown, however, has never made the headlines for his technical operations. He is an American anti-gender activist and has for many years been the chairman of the [World Congress of Families](#) (WCF), an ultraconservative and transnational anti-rights network opposing abortion and same-sex marriage and labelled as 'hate group' by the US Southern Poverty Law Center. He also sits on the board of trustees at CitizenGO, a Spanish anti-abortion and anti-LGBTIQ+ advocacy group. Brown is no stranger to JfP, as we have examined his activities in [previous investigations](#). In 2013, he [stood](#) in the Russian Duma as it passed its anti-LGBTIQ+ legislation, and he returned to Moscow repeatedly in the years that followed. In 2014, the Russian oligarch Konstantin Malofeev and his right-hand man Alexey Komov, both allies of Putin, brought the group to Moscow, yet under a different name.

The WCF, hosted by Brown's IOF, is an international movement that organises major conferences to celebrate, affirm and defend the "natural family" as the fundamental unit of society. First held in 1997 and most recently in 2022 in Mexico, the network connects pro-family (anti-LGBTIQ+, anti-abortion, anti-self-determination) figures to influence and shape policy. It has also served as a channel linking the US Christian Right with Russian Orthodox oligarchs and the Putin regime, sharing "family values" ideology for geopolitical purposes, letting Russia advance its influence in the US, Europe and beyond, under the banner of anti-gender and anti-LGBTIQ+ politics. Alexey Komov served as the WCF's Russian representative and previously [sat on the board of CitizenGO](#), as well as IOF from 2018 to 2023 (according to American [tax filings](#)). Konstantin Malofeev previously bankrolled the conferences.

[Malofeev](#) is no ordinary financier. He was already on the US and EU sanctions list in 2014 for financing and arming pro-Russian separatists in eastern Ukraine. In 2022, the US Department of



Justice [indicted him](#) for sanctions violations, alleging that he had funnelled away ten million dollars in American investment money. [Investigations by JfP](#) have also identified Malofeev as the primary coordinator and fundraiser behind the systematic deportation and forced relocation of Ukrainian children via his St. Basil the Great Foundation, under his project called “Happy Childhood”. Malofeev is sanctioned for his involvement war in Ukraine and dedicated to promoting the Kremlin strategies and strict Russian Orthodox Christianity and is the owner of the ultranationalist media empire The Tsargrad Group.


Through his proxy Alexey Komov, he has also financially backed Agenda Europe, a European anti-gender umbrella network that via leaks, Brown, De Thieulloy and Nemanja Zarić can all also be attributed to.

In July 2025, Moldovan authorities [stopped](#) Brown at Chişinău airport and initially refused him entry, citing an alert on the national watch list. The written notice he was given stated the reason was a “threat to public order and national security”. He had been due to speak at the conservative conference “Make Europe Great Again”.

Only after intervention by the American embassy was he allowed into the country after detention, and he went on to deliver his speech. Sixteen other invitees were refused entirely. He personally thanked the Secretary of State Rubio for his support in the matter. According to the Moldovan [intelligence service SIS](#), the conference had opaque and dubious financial ties to a sanctioned oligarch who had fled to Moscow. The SIS also described the conference as part of a broader Russian influence operation in Moldova, disguised as a cultural event. This is the same Brian Brown who now surfaces as the man behind part of the SEA’s technical infrastructure.

Nemanja Zarić, the man in the metadata


Nemanja Zarić, the Serbian name found in the data of the CERSH logo (and co-owner of Innonde), is no outsider. On 1 April 2017, he spoke at the regional WCF conference in Paris. The WCF [published](#) a group photo of that day showing Zarić standing in the back row on the far right, next to Brian Brown. On the far right of the front row stands Alexey Komov, the link to the Russian oligarch Malofeev. Guillaume de Thieulloy of Le Salon Beige, CERSH and GT Editions also spoke at the very same event. Zarić has also been affiliated with the far-right, pro-Russian Serbian movement Dveri, acting as the party’s national coordinator.



Speakers at the WCF Conference in Paris, 1 April 2017. Top: Levan Vasadze, Brian Brown, and Nemanja Zarić. Bottom: Alexey Komov (right). Right: Guillaume de Thieulloy (left) speaking at the same WCF Conference in Paris. Source and image rights: Profam.org.

Brian Brown in Brussels

On 15 July 2026, this intersection became even more concrete, with Vlaardingerbroek and Sellner holding their SEA demonstration in Brussels, which was initially banned by Belgian authorities. JfP identified that both Brian Brown and Guillaume de Thieulloy attended in person. Brown had also posted about the rally on his own X account, supporting the cause and promoting ECR politicians who spoke such as Dominik Tarczyński. It is the first time either Brown or De Thieulloy have been spotted at one of the campaign's public events.



Left: Brian Brown and Guillaume de Thieulloy standing in front of Martin Sellner. Right: Brian Brown speaking with Dominik Tarczyński. Source and image rights: X accounts of the Save Europe Act and Brian Brown.

This is not the first time Brian Brown has interacted with Brussels' politics. He has participated in two ECR Group "European Congress of Families" conferences in 2023 and 2024 in Dubrovnik, representing his IOF. Guillaume de Thieulloy joined him in 2023.

What Brian Brown's involvement means

In this investigation, JfP has revealed that Brown, a pro-Trump American with demonstrable ties to the Kremlin, plays an important role in the SEA campaign, shifting the Overton window and normalising remigration (i.e. deportation) as an ordinary political idea.

He does not hold an outward leadership role in the SEA and instead works one layer deeper, within the technical infrastructure, the fundraising and possibly the email systems that underpin it. As shown, Brown also holds deep ultraconservative pro-family views, opposing LGBTIQ+ rights and abortion rights. He is an omnipresent player within the transnational ultraconservative Christian-right network, chairing multiple anti-rights organisations and having worked closely with Russian actors.

With Brown, the SEA brings different currents of influence together, the American Christian right, Russian Orthodoxy and extreme-right European Identitarianism. These groups do not share a country, organisation or religious community. What connects them is a common aversion towards liberal democracy and the institutions that support it. With the SEA we see them visibly intersect and encompass one movement, seeking to destabilise Europe from within.






Brian Brown with Igor Dodon (L), then president of Moldova and open ally of Moscow. Source and rights: Mihaela Tobultoc, *cotidianul.md*, 29 July 2025. Brian Brown with Alexey Komov, 4 December 2017, (R). Source & rights: Brian Brown's Instagram account.

The entire network

Despite being rejected as a legitimate ECI, the SEA campaign continues through the European Shield Tour under the slogan "Europe United Against the EU". The first event will take place in Utrecht and will be joined by members of the FvD, followed by events in France, Spain, Italy and other countries. The network behind the SEA remains the same, even as the campaign changes and grows, gaining more support.

While the slogan and the surface level campaign of the SEA relies on patriotism, anti-elitism and the concerns of European citizens, the technical infrastructure runs on an American's servers, who has links to the World Congress of Families, an oligarch-supported initiative opposing LGBTIQ+ and abortion rights. The finances run through a French organisation which supports a conservative parliamentary group.

This network needs to be considered whenever the SEA is pushed and sold as an acceptable policy proposal.



Save Europe Act: actor network, funding routes and technical infrastructure. Findings as of 30 July 2026.

Actor cluster

- Save Europe Act campaign core
- European party politics
- Identitarian movement (DE / AT)
- French donation hub / ultraconservative
- US Christian right / anti-rights network
- Payment & hosting platforms
- Russia / Orthodoxists
- Technical infrastructure / Serbian nexus



Recommendations

To the citizen: Notice what language, beliefs and ideologies are being normalised. A few years ago, remigration and deportation were confined to the extreme fringe. Vlaardingerbroek has said openly that shifting the Overton window is the goal. Signing the Save Europe Act means adding your name to a demand for mass deportation based on race or ethnic origin, presented as an ECI, yet with the backing of polarising actors such as Brown and De Thieulloy. The same risk applies to your data. The registered controller is currently a Vienna-based company, E+M People Act Platform FlexCo, but the person behind it is an Austrian extremist with no bank account, leaving little to enforce against if things go wrong. Two US-based providers handle your emails and forms, and the campaign's own policy allows your data to move later to an unnamed "successor entity". The technical infrastructure points to companies owned by an **American anti-rights activist with close ties to the Kremlin**. Citizens can always submit an access request under [Article 15 of the GDPR](#).

To politicians: Migration policy can and should be debated. That is a healthy part of democracy. Politicians who publicly back the SEA also back the network behind it: an association investigated for money laundering, later closed, opaque money flows, and an anti-gender, anti-LGBTIQ+ network reaching into the Kremlin. This network involves an association into which the public prosecutor's office opened a [money laundering investigation](#), opaque money flows, anti-gender and anti-LGBTIQ+ initiatives and actors that reach into the Kremlin. This network is no longer hidden. From this point on, no one can claim not to have known.

To the APPF: The [Fonds de dotation GT Editions](#) donated the [maximum of 18,000 euros](#) to the ECR three years running, amounting to 54,000 euros. That is legal. But the man behind it, Guillaume de Thieulloy, owns a string of other entities. Do any of them also donate to the ECR, and are those donations added together under the single-donor cap? De Thieulloy, via CERSH, also sits at the end of the SEA's money flow. Where does the separation between these flows end, and who is checking it?

To the European Commission: The SEA collected signatures and money for weeks before a registration attempt was made, and has kept doing both since the Commission's rejection. Should a campaign be allowed to present itself, collect data, and raise funds as a European Citizens' Initiative while registration is pending, or after it has been formally rejected?

To the platforms: X is not a neutral referee here. Musk posted a video of the SEA to his own 241 million followers, and when Trump reposted Vlaardingerbroek's two-year-old CPAC speech, it passed 30 million views within 24 hours. Other platforms have banned SEA-linked accounts. X has not, and Vlaardingerbroek herself has admitted she "[owes her livelihood to X](#)." In December 2025, the Commission fined X 120 million euros under the Digital Services Act, in part for blocking researchers from accessing exactly this kind of data. The EU's own Digital Services Board called X's corrective plan overall inadequate. Asking X to explain its own algorithm is not a serious question when its owner is personally posting the content in question. The only route left runs through Article 40 of the DSA, forcing access rather than requesting it.

To the media: Covering the SEA as a domestic protest movement misses what this investigation shows: American money, Kremlin-adjacent networks, and a French donation hub sit behind its Dutch and Austrian faces. Use "remigration" and "patriotic" only in quotation marks, attributed to the campaign, never as your own description. Before giving anyone associated with it a platform, ask who funds this, and what they get in return.



About Justice for Prosperity

Justice for Prosperity (JfP) is an independent platform based in Amsterdam. We investigate the actors and networks that manipulate societies for power, influence, or profit. When we find something, we act on it.

The issues these actors target are rarely picked at random. Migration, gender, religion, LGBTIQ+ rights, women's rights: these generate real fear. Fear translates easily into power, and into money.

Our investigations run on OSINT, network analysis, and fieldwork. We're in the field as often as we're behind a screen.

Publishing what we find is often the point. Sometimes it isn't enough. When that's the case, we go directly to the people being targeted. We flag it to the institutions positioned to act. And where it's possible, we work to frustrate the business model behind it.

We advise democratic governments and institutions on threats to trust and stability, from an independent position. We train journalists, NGOs, and civil servants. We teach OSINT in schools, so the next generation learns to spot manipulation early.

Working on a campaign like this? Get in touch. Have source material? We take it seriously, and protect where it came from.

For more information and contact:

Join us and support our independent research [here](#) or visit our site www.justiceforprosperity.org

For tips and sharing source information: safesend.justiceforprosperity.org (also reachable via Tor).

Disclaimer

The information in this investigation is of a general and informative nature. Although this investigation has been compiled with care, no guarantee can be given as to the accuracy or completeness of the data and information in this report, or its suitability for any purpose, situation or use. The information in this report is made available in good faith and is derived from sources considered reliable and accurate at the time of publication. However, the information is provided solely on the basis of the reader's own responsibility to assess the matters discussed. Readers are advised to verify all relevant claims, statements, information and advice. It is the reader's responsibility to form their own judgement on the accuracy, currency, reliability and correctness of the information. Changes in circumstances after publication of this report may affect the accuracy of the information. After publication of the report, no guarantee is given as to the accuracy of any claim, statement, information or advice.

To the extent permitted by law, Justice for Prosperity (JfP) accepts no responsibility for any statement in this investigation. Nothing in this investigation has been provided for a specific purpose or at the request of any particular person. For clarity: JfP is not liable for any loss arising from taking or not taking action on the basis of this report or any part of it. We give no guarantees of any kind with respect to this report or the information contained in it. JfP cannot be held liable for damage, losses or other consequences that may arise from the use of information or data in this report.

The visual material used serves solely as illustration, in the context of news reporting, analysis and public debate (right of quotation, Article 15a of the Dutch Copyright Act, Auteurswet). Where possible, the source is credited; this does not imply that the source is the copyright holder. JfP claims no copyright on this material unless stated otherwise. Rights holders or persons depicted who object can contact JfP via info@justiceforprosperity.org.



Exposing threats
to Democracy



**Justice for
Prosperity**